Contribution ID: ddc95072-f636-4ef9-9880-a736c36ae6fc

Date: 09/06/2020 09:32:05

Consultation on the White Paper on Artificial Intelligence - A European Approach

ory.

Introduction

Artificial intelligence (AI) is a strategic technology that offers many benefits for citizens and the economy. It will change our lives by improving healthcare (e.g. making diagnosis more precise, enabling better prevention of diseases), increasing the efficiency of farming, contributing to climate change mitigation and adaptation, improving the efficiency of production systems through predictive maintenance, increasing the security of Europeans and the protection of workers, and in many other ways that we can only begin to imagine.

At the same time, AI entails a number of potential risks, such as risks to safety, gender-based or other kinds of discrimination, opaque decision-making, or intrusion in our private lives.

The <u>European approach for AI</u> aims to promote Europe's innovation capacity in the area of AI while supporting the development and uptake of ethical and trustworthy AI across the EU. According to this approach, AI should work for people and be a force for good in society.

For Europe to seize fully the opportunities that AI offers, it must develop and reinforce the necessary industrial and technological capacities. As set out in the accompanying European strategy for data, this also requires measures that will enable the EU to become a global hub for data.

The current public consultation comes along with the White Paper on Artificial Intelligence - A European Approach aimed to foster a European ecosystem of excellence and trust in Al and a Report on the safety and liability aspects of Al. The White Paper proposes:

- Measures that will streamline research, foster collaboration between Member States and increase investment into AI development and deployment;
- Policy options for a future EU regulatory framework that would determine the types of legal requirements that would apply to relevant actors, with a particular focus on high-risk applications.

This consultation enables all European citizens, Member States and relevant stakeholders (including civil society, industry and academics) to provide their opinion on the White Paper and contribute to a European approach for AI. To this end, the following questionnaire is divided in three sections:

- Section 1 refers to the specific actions, proposed in the White Paper's Chapter 4 for the building of an ecosystem of excellence that can support the development and uptake of AI across the EU economy and public administration;
- Section 2 refers to a series of options for a regulatory framework for AI, set up in the White Paper's Chapter 5:
- Section 3 refers to the Report on the safety and liability aspects of Al.

Respondents can provide their opinion by choosing the most appropriate answer among the ones suggested for each question or suggesting their own ideas in dedicated text boxes.

Feedback can be provided in one of the following languages:

BG | CS | DE | DA | EL | EN | ES | ET | FI | FR | HR | HU | IT | LT | LV | MT | NL | PL | PT | RO | SK | SL | SV

Written feedback provided in other document formats, can be uploaded through the button made available at the end of the questionnaire.

The survey will remain open until 14 June 2020.

About you

- *Language of my contribution
 - Bulgarian
 - Croatian
 - Czech
 - Danish
 - Dutch
 - English
 - Estonian
 - Finnish
 - French
 - Gaelic
 - German
 - Greek
 - Hungarian
 - Italian
 - Latvian
 - Lithuanian
 - Maltese
 - Polish
 - Portuguese
 - Romanian
 - Slovak
 - Slovenian
 - Spanish
 - Swedish

*I am giving my contribution as Academic/research institution Business association Company/business organisation Consumer organisation EU citizen
 Environmental organisation Non-EU citizen Non-governmental organisation (NGO) Public authority
Trade unionOther
* First name
Susanne
*Surname
KRAEMER
*Email (this won't be published)
s.kraemer@cnue.be
*Organisation name
255 character(s) maximum
Council of the Notariats of the European Union (CNUE)
* Organisation size
Micro (1 to 9 employees)
Small (10 to 49 employees)
Medium (50 to 249 employees)
Large (250 or more)
Transparency register number
255 character(s) maximum Check if your organisation is on the transparency register. It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-
making. 98885666486-72
*Country of origin
Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation. Afghanistan Djibouti Libya Saint Martin Liechtenstein

Allo a mila	O Daminiaan		Saint Pierre and Miquelon
Albania	DominicanRepublic	Lithuania	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines
Algeria	Ecuador	Luxembourg	Samoa
AmericanSamoa	Egypt	Macau	San Marino
Andorra	El Salvador	Madagascar	São Tomé and Príncipe
Angola	Equatorial Guinea	Malawi	Saudi Arabia
Anguilla	Eritrea	Malaysia	Senegal
Antarctica	Estonia	Maldives	Serbia
Antigua and Barbuda	Eswatini	Mali	Seychelles
Argentina	Ethiopia	Malta	Sierra Leone
Armenia	Falkland Islands	Marshall Islands	Singapore
Aruba	Faroe Islands	Martinique	Sint Maarten
Australia	© Fiji	Mauritania	Slovakia
Austria	Finland	Mauritius	Slovenia
Azerbaijan	France	Mayotte	Solomon Islands
Bahamas	French Guiana	Mexico	Somalia
Bahrain	FrenchPolynesia	Micronesia	South Africa
Bangladesh	French	Moldova	South Georgia
	Southern and Antarctic Lands		and the South Sandwich
	Aniarchic Lanus		Islands
Barbados	Gabon	Monaco	South Korea
Belarus	Georgia	Mongolia	South Sudan
Belgium	Germany	Montenegro	Spain
Belize	Ghana	Montserrat	Sri Lanka
Benin	Gibraltar	Morocco	Sudan
Bermuda	Greece	Mozambique	Suriname
BornadaBhutan	Greenland	Myanmar	Svalbard and
Dilutari	Greenland	/Burma	Jan Mayen
Bolivia	Grenada	Namibia	Sweden
Bonaire Saint	Guadeloupe	Nauru	Switzerland
Eustatius and Saba	- Gadaoloupo	rvadia	- Ownzonana
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Guam	Nepal	Syria
Botswana	Guatemala	Netherlands	Taiwan
Bouvet Island	Guernsey	New Caledonia	Tajikistan
Brazil	Guinea	New Zealand	Tanzania

British IndianOcean Territory	Guinea-Bissau	Nicaragua	Thailand
British VirginIslands	Guyana	Niger	The Gambia
Brunei	Haiti	Nigeria	Timor-Leste
Bulgaria	Heard Island and McDonald Islands	Niue	Togo
Burkina Faso	Honduras	Norfolk Island	Tokelau
Burundi	Hong Kong	Northern	Tonga
		Mariana Islands	- T : : : : : :
Cambodia	Hungary	North Korea	Trinidad andTobago
Cameroon	Iceland	North	Tobago © Tunisia
Gameroon	lociario	Macedonia	Turnsia
Canada	India	Norway	Turkey
Cape Verde	Indonesia	Oman	Turkmenistan
Cayman Islands	Iran	Pakistan	Turks and
			Caicos Islands
Central AfricanRepublic	Iraq	Palau	Tuvalu
Chad	Ireland	Palestine	Uganda
Chile	Isle of Man	Panama	Ukraine
China	Israel	Papua New Guinea	United ArabEmirates
Christmas Island	Italy	Paraguay	UnitedKingdom
Clipperton	Jamaica	Peru	United States
Cocos (Keeling)	Japan	Philippines	United States
Islands			Minor Outlying
Colombia	loroov	Ditagira Islanda	Islands
ColombiaComoros	JerseyJordan	Pitcairn IslandsPoland	UruguayUS Virgin
Comoros	Joidan	o i dianu	Islands
Congo	Kazakhstan	Portugal	Uzbekistan
Cook Islands	Kenya	Puerto Rico	Vanuatu
Costa Rica	Kiribati	Qatar	Vatican City
Côte d'Ivoire	Kosovo	Réunion	Venezuela
Croatia	Kuwait	Romania	Vietnam
Cuba	Kyrgyzstan	Russia	Wallis and
			Futuna
Curação	Laos	Rwanda	Western
© Cyprus	Latvia	Saint	Sahara © Yemen
Cyprus	Laivia	Barthélemy	U CITICII
Czechia	Lebanon		Zambia

Saint Helena Ascension and Tristan da Cunha

Saint Lucia

DemocraticRepublic of the Congo

Saint Kitts andZimbabwe Nevis

* Publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Denmark

Only your type of respondent, country of origin and contribution will be published. All other personal details (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number) will not be published.

Public

Your personal details (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number, country of origin) will be published with your contribution.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

Liberia

Section 1 - An ecosystem of excellence

To build an ecosystem of excellence that can support the development and uptake of Al across the EU economy, the White Paper proposes a series of actions.

In your opinion, how important are the six actions proposed in section 4 of the White Paper on AI (1-5: 1 is not important at all, 5 is very important)?

	1 - Not important at all	2 - Not important	3 - Neutral	4 - Important	5 - Very important	No opinion
Working with Member states	0	0	0	0	•	0
Focussing the efforts of the research and innovation community	0	0	0	•	0	0
Skills	0	0	0	•	0	0
Focus on SMEs	0	0	•	0	0	0
Partnership with the private sector	0	0	0	•	0	0
Promoting the adoption of AI by the public sector	0	0	•	0	0	0

Are there other actions that should be considered?	
500 character(s) maximum	

Revising the Coordinated Plan on AI (Action 1)

The Commission, taking into account the results of the public consultation on the White Paper, will propose to Member States a revision of the Coordinated Plan to be adopted by end 2020.

In your opinion, how important is it in each of these areas to align policies and strengthen coordination as described in section 4.A of the White Paper (1-5: 1 is not important at all, 5 is very important)?

	1 - Not important at all	2 - Not important	3 - Neutral	4 - Important	5 - Very important	No opinion
Strengthen excellence in research	0	0	0	0	•	0
Establish world-reference testing facilities for AI	0	0	0	•	0	0
Promote the uptake of AI by business and the public sector	0	0	•	0	0	0
Increase the financing for start-ups innovating in Al	0	0	0	0	•	0
Develop skills for AI and adapt existing training programmes	0	0	0	•	0	0
Build up the European data space	0	0	0	0	•	0

	e there other areas tha	it that sho	ouid be co	nsidered	a ?		
<u>A u</u>	nited and strengthened rese	arch and inn	ovation com	munity st	riving for exc	<u>cellence</u>	
	ning forces at all levels, from batter at a synergies between the exist				ey to overcon	ne fragmenta	tion and
4.0	your opinion how important ()? Cand 4.E of the White portant)?						
		1 - Not important at all	2 - Not important	3 - Neutral	4 - Important	5 - Very important	No opinion
	Support the establishment of a lighthouse research centre that is world class and able to attract the best minds	0	0	0	•	0	0
	Network of existing AI research excellence centres	0	0	0	0	•	0
	Set up a public-private partnership for industrial research	0	0	0	•	0	0
СО	e there any other action mmunity that should be considered to the contract of		•	e reseai	rch and in	novation	
Foo	cusing on Small and Medium	Enterprises	(SMEs)				
	Commission will work with Member State has a high degree			t at least o	ne digital inno	ovation hub p	er
Diç	your opinion, how imp gital Innovation Hubs r ation to SMEs (1-5: 1 i	mentioned	l in sectio	n 4.D of	the White	Paper in	

	1 - Not important at all	2 - Not important	3 - Neutral	4 - Important	5 - Very important	No opinion
Help to raise SME's awareness about potential benefits of AI	0	0	•	0	0	0
Provide access to testing and reference facilities	0	0	0	•	0	0
Promote knowledge transfer and support the development of AI expertise for SMEs	©	0	•	0	0	•
Support partnerships between SMEs, larger enterprises and academia around AI projects	0	0	0	•	0	0
Provide information about equity financing for Al startups	0	0	0	•	0	0

Are there any other tasks that you consider important for specialised Digital Innovations Hubs?

5	i00 character(s) maximum

Section 2 - An ecosystem of trust

Chapter 5 of the White Paper sets out options for a regulatory framework for AI.

In your opinion, how important are the following concerns about AI (1-5: 1 is not important at all, 5 is very important)?

	1 - Not important at all	2 - Not important	3 - Neutral	4 - Important	5 - Very important	No opinion
Al may endanger safety	0	0	0	0	•	0
Al may breach fundamental rights (such as human dignity, privacy, data protection, freedom of expression, workers' rights etc.)	•	•	0	•	•	0

The use of AI may lead to discriminatory outcomes	0	0	0	•	•	0
Al may take actions for which the rationale cannot be explained	0	0	0	0	•	0
Al may make it more difficult for persons having suffered harm to obtain compensation	0	0	0	©	•	0
Al is not always accurate	0	0	0	0	•	0
ew rules for Al systems? Current legislation is for a record to the contract of the contract	ully suffici y have so	me gaps				
you think that new rules troduction of new compoplications (where the poly)? Yes	ulsory re	quireme	nts shou	ld be limit	ed to hig	h-risk
NoOther						
Other						
OtherNo opinionther, please specify:						
OtherNo opinionther, please specify:			on or use	that is m	ost conce	erning

In your opinion, how important are the following mandatory requirements of a possible future regulatory framework for AI (as section 5.D of the White Paper) (1-5: 1 is not important at all, 5 is very important)?

	1 - Not important at all	2 - Not important	3 - Neutral	4 - Important	5 - Very important	No opinion
The quality of training data sets	0	0	0	0	•	0
The keeping of records and data	0	0	0	0	•	0
Information on the purpose and the nature of AI systems	0	0	0	0	•	0
Robustness and accuracy of AI systems	0	0	0	0	•	0
Human oversight	0	0	0	0	•	0
Clear liability and safety rules	0	0	0	0	•	0

In addition to the existing EU legislation, in particular the data protection framework, including the General Data Protection Regulation and the Law Enforcement Directive, or, where relevant, the new possibly mandatory requirements foreseen above (see question above), do you think that the use of remote biometric identification systems (e.g. face recognition) and other technologies which may be used in public spaces need to be subject to further EU-level guidelines or regulation:

- No further guidelines or regulations are needed
- Biometric identification systems should be allowed in publicly accessible spaces only in certain cases or if certain conditions are fulfilled (please specify)
- Other special requirements in addition to those mentioned in the question above should be imposed (please specify)
- Use of Biometric identification systems in publicly accessible spaces, by way of exception to the current general prohibition, should not take place until a specific guideline or legislation at EU level is in place.
- Biometric identification systems should never be allowed in publicly accessible spaces
- No opinion

PΙ	Please specify your answer:					

Do you believe that a voluntary labelling system (Section 5.G of the White Paper) would be useful for Al systems that are not considered high-risk in addition to existing legislation? Output Outpu
Do you have any further suggestion on a voluntary labelling system?
500 character(s) maximum
What is the best way to ensure that AI is trustworthy, secure and in respect of European values and rules?
Compliance of high-risk applications with the identified requirements should
be self-assessed ex-ante (prior to putting the system on the market) Compliance of high-risk applications should be assessed ex-ante by means of an external conformity assessment procedure
Ex-post market surveillance after the Al-enabled high-risk product or service has been put on the market and, where needed, enforcement by relevant competent authorities
 A combination of ex-ante compliance and ex-post enforcement mechanisms Other enforcement system No opinion
Do you have any further suggestion on the assessment of compliance? 500 character(s) maximum
Section 3 – Safety and liability implications of AI, IoT and robotics
The overall objective of the safety and liability legal frameworks is to ensure that all products and services, including those integrating emerging digital technologies, operate safely, reliably and consistently and that damage having occurred is remedied efficiently.
The current product safety legislation already supports an extended concept of safety protecting against all kind of risks arising from the product according to its use. However, which particular risks stemming from the use of artificial intelligence do you think should be further spelled out to provide more legal certainty?
✓ Cyber risks✓ Personal security risks

Risks related to the loss of connectivity Mental health risks
In your opinion, are there any further risks to be expanded on to provide more legal certainty?
500 character(s) maximum
Do you think that the safety legislative framework should consider new risk assessment procedures for products subject to important changes during their lifetime?
Yes
O No
No opinion
Do you have any further considerations regarding risk assessment procedures? 500 character(s) maximum
300 Character(s) maximum
Do you think that the current EU legislative framework for liability (Product Liability Directive) should be amended to better cover the risks engendered by certain AI applications? O Yes No No opinion
Do you have any further considerations regarding the question above?
500 character(s) maximum
Do you think that the current national liability rules should be adapted for the operation of AI to better ensure proper compensation for damage and a fair allocation of liability?
Yes, for all AI applications
 Yes, for specific AI applications
O No
No opinion
Do you have any further considerations regarding the question above? 500 character(s) maximum

Thank you for your contribution to this questionnaire. In case you want to share further ideas on these topics, you can upload a document below.

You can upload a document here:

The maximum file size is 1 MB
Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

2c3dd143-e37d-4daa-bba3-b637c76dc7c7/Contribution-Consultation-Al-CNUE-Accompagnement-09-06-20.doc

Contact

CNECT-AI-CONSULT@ec.europa.eu